arXiv:1609.01141v1 [math.RA] 29 Aug 2016

Constructing a free resolution and few remarks on bar homology of Temperley-Lieb Algebra TL_3

SOUTRIK ROY CHOWDHURY

Abstract

In this paper I attempt to compute the Anick's resolution of Temperley-Lieb algebra TL_3 and then I compute the bar homology of TL_3 or equivalently the $\mathrm{Tor}_*^{\mathrm{TL}_3}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C})$. It shows that the differentials in the formulae of Anick's resolution and of the bar homology depends on τ and thus $\mathrm{Tor}_*^{\mathrm{TL}_3}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C})$ depends on τ.

For $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$, the Temperley-Lieb algebra TL_n is an associative $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra generated by $1, e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{n-1}$ modulo the relations:

- $e_i e_j = e_j e_i$ for $|i-j| > 1$
- $e_i e_j e_i = e_i$ for $|i-j| = 1$
- $e_i e_i = \tau e_i$.

We simply write the algebra TL_n when τ is understood. Therefore the structure of the algebra TL_3 is $\{1, e_1, e_2 | e_1e_2e_1 - e_1, e_2e_1e_2 - e_2, e_1e_1 - \tau e_1, e_2e_2 - \tau e_2\}$. The definition of TL_n can be described by tangle diagram and there exists maps between braid group B_n and TL_n which can also be motivated by tangle diagram. In appendix I write about it in a little details where I also compute the Gröbner basis for B_3.
One of many ways to compute a free resolution of a graded augmented algebra is resolution constructed by Anick back in 1986 [1]. This resolution shows nice combinatorial construction of the homology classes of the algebra where we actively involve computed Gröbner basis of the algebra. In this paper I attempt to construct Anick's resolution for algebra TL_3 and reach some conclusion regarding the general structure of the resolution. Then I compute bar homology of TL_3 from the computed Anick's resolution and also in that case make remark on the general structure of bar homology. It is observed that both are dependent on τ.
We recall the formula for Anick's resolution:

Theorem 1 (Anick's resolution)**.** *Let A be a graded algebra with augmentation (i.e. there exists an augmentation map $\epsilon : A \to K$), and let C_n be the set of n-chains. We have a resolution of A of the following form:*

$$\ldots \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}} C_n \otimes A \xrightarrow{d_n} C_{n-1} \otimes A \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} C_{n-2} \otimes A \xrightarrow{d_{n-2}} \ldots$$

$$\ldots \xrightarrow{d_2} C_1 \otimes A \xrightarrow{d_1} C_0 \otimes A \xrightarrow{d_0} C_{-1} \otimes A \xrightarrow{\epsilon} K \longrightarrow 0$$

with splitting inverse maps $i_n : \ker d_{n-1} \to C_n \otimes A$ *(which, unlike* d_n *need not to be homomorphisms of modules). Where:*

- $d_0(x \otimes 1) = 1 \otimes x$.
- $i_{-1}(1) = 1 \otimes 1$.
- $i_0(1 \otimes x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_n}) = x_{i_1} \otimes x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_n}$.
- $d_{n+1}(gt \otimes 1) = g \otimes t - i_n d_n(g \otimes t)$ *for all* $(n+1)$*-chains* gt*, with tail* t.
- $i_n(u) = \alpha g \otimes c + i_n(u - \alpha d_n(g \otimes c))$ *for all* $u \in \ker d_{n-1}$ *with leading term* $f \otimes s$*, where* $\overline{fs} = \overline{gc}$*, and* f *is a* $(n-1)$*-chain and* g *is a* n*-chain and* $\alpha = \mathrm{LC}(f \otimes s)$. *The bar over* fs *and* gc *denotes reduction of them to normal forms.*

For proof of the theorem 1 we refer to [2]. For more details regarding the resolution and concept of chains, leading terms LT and leading coefficients LC we refer to standard text [2,3].
Assuming the DEGLEX order $1 < e_1 < e_2$, Gröbner basis of TL_3 is given by the relations themselves i.e. $\{e_1e_2e_1 - e_1, e_2e_1e_2 - e_2, e_1e_1 - \tau e_1, e_2e_2 - \tau e_2\}$. It is easy to verify that the S -polynomial between $e_1e_2e_1$ and $e_2e_1e_2$ is 0. Similarly the S -polynomials between $e_1e_2e_1$ and e_1e_1 and $e_2e_1e_2$ and e_2e_2 in pairs respectively are 0. Therefore the relations themselves satisfy Bergman's Diamond Lemma [4] and hence form the Gröbner basis of the algebra TL_3.
Elements of chain C_0 are 1, e_1, e_2.
Elements of chain C_1 are $e_1e_2e_1, e_2e_1e_2, e_1e_1, e_2e_2$.
Elements of chain C_2 are $e_1e_2e_1e_1, e_1e_2e_1e_2, e_2e_1e_2e_2, e_2e_1e_2e_1, e_1e_1e_2e_1$,
$e_2e_2e_1e_2, e_1e_1e_1, e_2e_2e_2$.
Elements of chain C_3 are $e_1e_2e_1e_1e_1, e_1e_2e_1e_1e_2e_1, e_1e_2e_1e_2e_2, e_1e_2e_1e_2e_1e_2$,
$e_2e_1e_2e_2e_2, e_2e_1e_2e_2e_1e_2, e_2e_1e_2e_1e_1, e_2e_1e_2e_1e_2e_1$,
$e_1e_1e_2e_1e_2, e_1e_1e_2e_1e_1, e_1e_1e_1e_1, e_1e_1e_1e_2e_1$,
$e_2e_2e_2e_2, e_2e_2e_2e_2e_1e_2, e_2e_2e_1e_2e_1, e_2e_2e_1e_2e_2$.
Rest of chains are constructed in the similar fashion. Though its a bit difficult to write the exact formulae for chains but the construction involves nice combinatorics and we deduce the following proposition:

Proposition 1. *The number of elements in chain* C_{n+1} *are* 2 *times the number of elements in chain* C_n *for* $n \geq 1$.

Proof. This is easy to verify. Each element in C_n generates two elements for C_{n+1} following the defined way of construction of chains [3]. □

Now I would like to make remarks on the length of elements in chain C_n which is essential when we would like to construct the Hilbert series for TL_3 using chains [3]. I don't compute the Hilbert series for TL_3 here but using the remarks one can construct it easily.

Remark 1. In C_n when n is even ($n \geq 2$) elements of length (sometime we call them degree instead of length) $(n+1), (n+2), (n+3), \dots, \frac{3n+2}{2}$ are present.

Remark 2. In C_n when n is odd ($n \geq 3$) elements of length $(n+1), (n+2), (n+3), \dots, \frac{3(n+1)}{2}$ are present.

The verification of these two remarks depends on the way we take a careful look in the construction of chains and its easy to check them.

Now I compute the Anick's resolution (theorem 1) of the algebra TL_3. In theorem 1, field K will become $\mathbb{C}$ and A is TL_3. Namely the following formulae hold:

$$d_0(e_1 \otimes 1) = 1 \otimes e_1 \qquad d_0(e_2 \otimes 1) = 1 \otimes e_2$$

Now $d_1 : C_1 \otimes \mathrm{TL}_3 \to C_0 \otimes \mathrm{TL}_3$ are given by

$$d_1(e_1e_2e_1 \otimes 1) = e_1 \otimes e_2e_1 - e_1 \otimes 1$$

$$d_1(e_2e_1e_2 \otimes 1) = e_2 \otimes e_1e_2 - e_2 \otimes 1$$

$$d_1(e_1e_1 \otimes 1) = e_1 \otimes e_1 - \tau e_1 \otimes 1$$

$$d_1(e_2e_2 \otimes 1) = e_2 \otimes e_2 - \tau e_2 \otimes 1$$

The differential $d_2 : C_2 \otimes \mathrm{TL}_3 \to C_1 \otimes \mathrm{TL}_3$ is given by:

$$d_2(e_1e_2e_1e_1 \otimes 1) = e_1e_2e_1 \otimes e_1 - \tau e_1e_2e_1 \otimes 1 + e_1e_1 \otimes 1$$

$$d_2(e_1e_2e_1e_2 \otimes 1) = e_1e_2e_1 \otimes e_2$$

$$d_2(e_2e_1e_2e_2 \otimes 1) = e_2e_1e_2 \otimes e_2 - \tau e_2e_1e_2 \otimes 1 + e_2e_2 \otimes 1$$

$$d_2(e_2e_1e_2e_1 \otimes 1) = e_2e_1e_2 \otimes e_1$$

$$d_2(e_1e_1e_2e_1 \otimes 1) = e_1e_1 \otimes e_2e_1 + \tau e_1e_2e_1 \otimes 1 - e_1e_1 \otimes 1$$

$$d_2(e_2e_2e_1e_2 \otimes 1) = e_2e_2 \otimes e_1e_2 + \tau e_2e_1e_2 \otimes 1 - e_2e_2 \otimes 1$$

$$d_2(e_1e_1e_1 \otimes 1) = e_1e_1 \otimes e_1$$

$$d_2(e_2e_2e_2 \otimes 1) = e_2e_2 \otimes e_2$$

For $d_3 : C_3 \otimes \mathrm{TL}_3 \to C_2 \otimes \mathrm{TL}_3$ the formulae look like this:

$$d_3(e_1e_2e_1e_1e_1 \otimes 1) = e_1e_2e_1e_1 \otimes e_1 - e_1e_1e_1 \otimes 1$$

$$d_3(e_1e_2e_1e_1e_2e_1 \otimes 1) = e_1e_2e_1e_1 \otimes e_2e_1 + \tau e_1e_2e_1e_2 \otimes e_1 - e_1e_2e_1e_1 \otimes 1 - e_1e_1e_2e_1 \otimes 1$$

$$d_3(e_1e_2e_1e_2e_2 \otimes 1) = e_1e_2e_1e_2 \otimes e_2 - \tau e_1e_2e_1e_2 \otimes 1$$

$$d_3(e_1e_2e_1e_2e_1e_2 \otimes 1) = e_1e_2e_1e_2 \otimes e_1e_2 - e_1e_2e_1e_2 \otimes 1$$

$$d_3(e_2e_1e_2e_2e_2 \otimes 1) = e_2e_1e_2e_2 \otimes e_2 - e_2e_2e_2 \otimes 1$$

$$d_3(e_2e_1e_2e_2e_1e_2 \otimes 1) = e_2e_1e_2e_2 \otimes e_1e_2 + \tau e_2e_1e_2e_1 \otimes e_2 - e_2e_1e_2e_2 \otimes 1 - e_2e_2e_1e_2 \otimes 1$$

$$d_3(e_2e_1e_2e_1e_1 \otimes 1) = e_2e_1e_2e_1 \otimes e_1 - \tau e_2e_1e_2e_1 \otimes 1$$

$$d_3(e_2e_1e_2e_1e_2e_1 \otimes 1) = e_2e_1e_2e_1 \otimes e_2e_1 - e_2e_1e_2e_1 \otimes 1$$

$$d_3(e_1e_1e_1e_1 \otimes 1) = e_1e_1e_1 \otimes e_1 - e_1e_1e_1 \otimes 1$$

$$d_3(e_1e_1e_1e_2e_1 \otimes 1) = e_1e_1e_1 \otimes e_2e_1 - e_1e_1e_1 \otimes 1$$

$$d_3(e_2e_2e_2e_2 \otimes 1) = e_2e_2e_2 \otimes e_2 - e_2e_2e_2 \otimes 1$$

$$d_3(e_2e_2e_2e_1e_2 \otimes 1) = e_2e_2e_2 \otimes e_1e_2 - e_2e_2e_2 \otimes 1$$

$$d_3(e_1e_1e_2e_1e_2 \otimes 1) = e_1e_1e_2e_1 \otimes e_2 - \tau e_1e_2e_1e_2 \otimes 1$$

$$d_3(e_1e_1e_2e_1e_1 \otimes 1) = e_1e_1e_2e_1 \otimes e_1 - \tau e_1e_2e_1e_1 \otimes 1 - \tau e_1e_1e_2e_1 \otimes 1 + e_1e_1e_1 \otimes 1$$

$$d_3(e_2e_2e_1e_2e_1 \otimes 1) = e_2e_2e_1e_2 \otimes e_1 - \tau e_2e_1e_2e_1 \otimes 1$$

$$d_3(e_2e_2e_1e_2e_2 \otimes 1) = e_2e_2e_1e_2 \otimes e_2 - \tau e_2e_1e_2e_2 \otimes 1 - \tau e_2e_2e_1e_2 \otimes 1 + e_2e_2e_2 \otimes 1$$

Remark 3. So we see how to construct formula d_{n+1} using information available from d_n and i_n. It is also seen that most formula are identical i.e. one can get one formula from other just by replacing e_1 by e_2 and vice versa. It is possible as the construction of there chains are identical. I didn't write the general formula $d_n : C_n \otimes \mathrm{TL}_3 \to C_{n-1} \otimes \mathrm{TL}_3$ but it can be constructed using combinatorics. The construction will be identical to one computed in [ex 4.3.1, 2]. We see that each differential shows nice combinatorial interpretation of the structure of the chains and hence of the algebra.

Proposition 2. *In each $d_n : C_n \otimes \mathrm{TL}_3 \to C_{n-1} \otimes \mathrm{TL}_3$ for $n \geq 1$ some formulae depend on τ. Therefore differentials d_n in Anick's resolution for all n depend on τ.*

Proof. Upto d_3 we see that formulae are dependent on τ. It appears as there exist relations $e_1e_1 - \tau e_1$ and $e_2e_2 - \tau e_2$ and when we start computing the formula for d_n for $n \leq 3$ we find i_n where some time leading terms contain τ as leading coefficients. That's why we find such τ in d_1, d_2 and in d_3. For higher d_n for $n > 3$ as formula depends on previous one and on i_n which is an inverse formula d_n must depends on τ as in most cases as leading coefficients τ appear. □

To compute the bar homology of TL_3 or equivalently $\mathrm{Tor}_*^{\mathrm{TL}_3}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ we need to compute the homology of the complex $\{(\mathbb{C}C_n \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{TL}_3) \otimes_{\mathrm{TL}_3} \mathrm{TL}_3, \bar{d_n}\}$ where the induced differential $\bar{d_n} = d_n \otimes 1$ only keeps those elements which are not annihilated by the augmentation of TL_3. In other words under the identification $(\mathbb{C}C_n \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{TL}_3) \otimes_{\mathrm{TL}_3} \mathrm{TL}_3 \cong \mathbb{C}C_n$ we have

$$\bar{d_0}(e_1) = 0, \quad \bar{d_0}(e_2) = 0$$

$$\bar{d_1}(e_1e_2e_1) = -e_1, \;\; \bar{d_1}(e_2e_1e_2) = -e_2, \;\; \bar{d_1}(e_1e_1) = -\tau e_1, \;\; \bar{d_1}(e_2e_2) = -\tau e_2$$

$$\bar{d_2}(e_1e_2e_1e_2) = \bar{d_2}(e_2e_1e_2e_1) = \bar{d_2}(e_1e_1e_1) = \bar{d_2}(e_2e_2e_2) = 0$$

$$\bar{d_2}(e_1e_2e_1e_1) = -\tau e_1e_2e_1 + e_1e_1, \;\; \bar{d_2}(e_2e_1e_2e_2) = -\tau e_2e_1e_2 + e_2e_2$$

$$\bar{d_2}(e_1e_1e_2e_1) = \tau e_1e_2e_1 - e_1e_1, \;\; \bar{d_2}(e_2e_2e_1e_2) = \tau e_2e_1e_2 - e_2e_2$$

Similarly $\bar{d_3} : C_3 \to C_2$ have non-zero terms

$$\bar{d_3}(e_1e_2e_1^3) = -e_1^3, \;\; \bar{d_3}((e_1e_2e_1)^2) = -e_1e_2e_1^2 - e_1^2e_2e_1, \;\; \bar{d_3}(e_1e_2e_1e_2^2 = -\tau(e_1e_2)^2$$

$$\bar{d_3}((e_1e_2)^3) = -(e_1e_2)^2, \;\; \bar{d_3}(e_2e_1e_2^3) = -e_2^3, \;\; \bar{d_3}((e_2e_1e_2)^2) = -e_2e_1e_2^2 - e_2^2e_1e_2$$

$$\bar{d_3}(e_2e_1e_2e_1^2) = -\tau(e_2e_1)^2, \;\; \bar{d_3}((e_2e_1)^3) = -(e_2e_1)^2, \;\; \bar{d_3}(e_1)^4 = -e_1^3, \;\; \bar{d_3}(e_1^3e_2e_1) = -e_1^3$$

$$\bar{d_3}(e_2^4) = -e_2^3, \;\; \bar{d_3}(e_2^3e_1e_2) = -e_2^3, \;\; \bar{d_3}(e_1^2e_2e_1e_2) = -\tau(e_1e_2)^2,$$

$$\bar{d_3}(e_1^2e_2e_1^2) = -\tau e_1e_2e_1^2 - \tau e_1^2e_2e_1 + e_1^3$$

$$\bar{d_3}(e_2^2e_1e_2e_1) = -\tau(e_2e_1)^2, \;\; \bar{d_3}(e_2^2e_1e_2^2) = -\tau e_2e_1e_2^2 - \tau e_2^2e_1e_2 + e_2^3$$

Remark 4. In similar ways we can compute the remaining $\bar{d_n}$. We see that $\mathrm{Im}(\bar{d_n})$ depends on τ and hence the homology of the complex depends on τ and so does $\mathrm{Tor}_*^{\mathrm{TL}_3}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$.

Computation of Anick's resolution for other TL_n will be a little difficult as that time we need to encounter the first relation too but soon we can find formulae using combinatorial relationships. It would be interesting to compute bar homology for TL_n for $n \geq 4$ and it can be seen that $\mathrm{Tor}_*^{\mathrm{TL}_n}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ depends on τ.
Another interesting exercise will be to compute A_∞ -algebra structure associated to Ext -algebra of Temperley-Lieb algebra TL_n. At this stage our computed Anick's resolution is not minimal but using perturbation methods to find minimal resolution from non-minimal Anick's resolution given in [6] and using methods of Merkuluv's construction [7] we can construct such higher homotopy algebra structure for TL_n.

Appendix:Relationship of B_n with TL_n

Gröbner basis of 3 strand braid group $B_3 = \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 | \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1 - \sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2 \rangle$ is given by the set

$$\{\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1 - \sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2\} \bigcup_{n=2}^{\infty} \{-\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2^2\sigma_1^{n-1} + (-1)^n\sigma_1\sigma_2^n\sigma_1\sigma_2\}$$

which indeed satisfies the Bergman's Diamond lemma. There is a map from B_n to TL_n given by

$$\sigma_i \mapsto A + A^{-1}e_i$$
$$\sigma_i^{-1} \mapsto A^{-1} + Ae_i$$

where $A \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\tau = -A^2 - A^{-2}$. The definition of TL_n can be motivated in terms of tangle diagrams in $\mathbb{R} \times I$. These are similar to knot diagrams, except that they can include arcs with endpoints on $\mathbb{R} \times \{0, 1\}$. Two tangles are considered the same if they are related by a sequence of isotopies and Reidemeister moves of the second and third type. The third relation in the Temperley-Lieb algebra allows one to delete a closed loop at the expense of multiplying by τ . Using these definitions, the map from B_n to TL_n is given by resolving all crossings using the Kauffman skein relation.
It will de interesting to find whether representation of B_n over TL_n is faithful or not for $n \geq 4$ when τ is transcendental. Though its a different context but maybe its worthwhile to connect Gröbner basis of B_n with bar homology of TL_n and existing research [5] to find the answer of the question. This will connect representation theory and homological algebra with low dimensional topology.

References

[1] David J.Anick, *On the Homology of Associative Algebras*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 296, no. 2 (Aug.,1986), pp 641-659, AMS.

[2] Soutrik Roy Chowdhury, *Gröbner Bases: Connecting Linear Algebra with Homological and Homotopical Algebra*, arXiv preprint 1510.01542, 2015.

[3] V.A.Ufnarovskij, *Combinatorial and Asymptotic Methods in Algebra*, EMS, Algebra VI, Volume 57, 1990.

[4] George M.Bergman, *The diamond lemma for ring theory*, Adv. in Math, 29(1978), no. 2, pp 178-218, MR MR506890 (81b:16001).

[5] V.F.R Jones, *Ten problems, Mathematics: frontiers and perspectives*, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI (2000) 79–91

[6] Vladimir Dotsenko and Anton Khoroshkin, *Free Resolution via Gröbner Bases*, arXiv preprint 0912.4895, 2011.

[7] Andrew Conner and Pete Goetz, *A_∞-Algebra Structures associated to K_2 Algebras*, arXiv preprint 1005.5185, 2010.

ITI Road, Jyotinagar, 2nd Mile, Siliguri-734001,
email: roychowdhurysoutrik@gmail.com